




## AUSTRALIAN JOURNAL OF BASIC AND APPLIED SCIENCES

ISSN:1991-8178 EISSN: 2309-8414  
Journal home page: www.ajbasweb.com



### A Model For Assessing The Impact Of System Quality And Satisfaction On Continuing To Use E-Learning System.

<sup>1</sup>Abdulahkim.Elmoawe Dreheeb, <sup>2</sup>Nurlida Basir and <sup>3</sup>Norasikin Fabil

<sup>1,2,3</sup>Fakulti Sains dan Teknologi, Universiti Sains Islam Malaysia (USIM), 71800 Nilai, Negeri Sembilan

**Address For Correspondence:**

Abdulahkim.Elmoawe Dreheeb, Fakulti Sains dan Teknologi, Universiti Sains Islam Malaysia (USIM), 71800 Nilai, Negeri Sembilan  
E-mail: asid1433@yahoo.com

**ARTICLE INFO**

**Article history:**

Received 3 March 2016  
Accepted 2 May 2016  
published 26 May 2016

**Keywords:**

E-learning systems, system quality factors, usability, reliability, efficiency, satisfaction, continue to use.

**ABSTRACT**

E-learning is a future educational channel that will be a substitute for the traditional learning system, because it has the ability to overcome the spatial and temporal limitations faced by the traditional learning system. E-learning has recorded rapid growth in recent years due to its importance and impact in the private and public sectors. However, the success of the e-learning field has to depend on certain characteristics that without doubt will improve the prospects of flourishing in this area. Importantly, this research focuses on system quality, because this plays a crucial role to satisfy users in general. Quality is a determining factor for the success of any e-learning project and the real success is based on sustained usage. If the quality of the e-learning system is poor, this will discourage users from adopting or using the system. In some cases the users reject the system after using it for a trial period, and this experience discourages the user from continuing to use the system. Basically, there are several characteristics and functionalities that can have an impact on user satisfaction as they use the e-learning system. These characteristics and functions contribute particularly to the quality of the e-learning system and in general the quality of the whole system, because these characteristics are related to the system quality and user perspective. The characteristics which should be taken into consideration include usability, reliability and efficiency, which were chosen from common models that included these aspects. Moreover, these characteristics include sub-characteristics as follows: usability (learnability, understandability, operability, attractiveness, and navigation), reliability (fault tolerance, maturity, recoverability, and consistency) and efficiency (time behaviour, resource utilization) which are considered as real challenges.

**INTRODUCTION**

Recently, the quality of e-learning systems is one of the important topics in education. Quality is considered a crucial issue for education in general, and for e-learning in particular (Alkhatabi, M *et al*, 2010). The e-learning system is becoming necessary in teaching especially to access teaching materials for long-distance learning. A huge number of existing e-learning systems still face the problem of satisfying the quality required by stakeholders (Lanzilotti, R *et al*, 2006). Improving and assuring quality is critical to the success of any educational organization involved in the use of e-learning systems (Masoumi, D,2012).

There are many software quality models that drawing the foundation for developing an appropriate model for e-learning. A quality model is defined as set of attributes and the correlated relationship between them, which provide the basis for specifying the quality requirements and evaluating the product quality (Al-Qutaish, R. E *et al*,2010). These reachable quality models are defined as twenty-eight models include but are not limited to McCall, Boehm, FURPS, Dromey, QMOOD, ISO 9216 and etc.

**Open Access Journal**

Published BY AENSI Publication

© 2016 AENSI Publisher All rights reserved

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY).

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

**To Cite This Article:** Abdulhakim.Elmoawe Dreheeb, Nurlida Basir and Norasikin Fabil., A Model For Assessing The Impact Of System Quality And Satisfaction On Continuing To Use E-Learning System. *Aust. J. Basic & Appl. Sci.*, 10(11): 340-345, 2016

The investigation of these quality models includes the comparison of the available quality attributes which are considered as attributes for system quality. Thirty-five common attributes have been found in twenty-eight quality models which are presented in table 1. The common attributes that found in the majority of these models are identified as usability where the frequency was 27, reliability was 25, and efficiency was 23 Straight. These attributes were mainly categorized for the system quality from the prospective of the end user, which they play a very important role in building user satisfaction to continue using the e-learning system.

2.0 Proposed Framework:

Based on the comparison, five characteristics, usability, reliability, efficiency, maintainability, and portability are found to be the most common in those models, indicating that they are important characteristics. These characteristics must be within the developer requirements in the design of any system. As the study goal is targeted on characteristics in terms of the end-users as learners, the focus was put on the relevant characteristics of the end users only. Because of that, maintainability is ruled out as it deals specifically with the business owner and project manager, and portability which is within the jurisdiction of a project manager. Thereby, this study only looks further onto the top three characteristics which are usability, reliability, and efficiency with their sub attributes which have been mentioned previously.

2.1 System Quality:

System quality plays an important role in the success of an overall software system. It is considered as a very important aspect for developers, users, and project managers. System quality is the extent to which an industry define a set of desirable features that should be incorporated into the product in order to enhance its lifetime performance (Dubey, S. K, 2012). Moreover, according to the Information System Model, system quality is a critical success attributes which influences on user satisfaction and intention to use (DeLone, W. H, 2003).

2.1.1 Usability:

Usability is one of the most important attributes for all software quality models. It is one of the crucial attributes in the development of successful collaborative software applications (Madan, A., 2012). Usability plays a significant role towards the success of e-learning applications (Ardito, C 2006).

2.1.2 Reliability:

Reliability is considered as one of the most important attributes of software quality (Osaki, S. (Ed.). 2012). The reliability is a set of attributes that relate to the capability of the software to maintain its level of performance under stated conditions for a stated period of time. The system also must have the ability to reestablish its level of performance and continue to produce the same results (Papanikolaou, K ,2008).

2.1.3 Efficiency:

Efficiency is one of the attributes that was accounted very important attributes of software quality. Efficiency is the capability of the software product to provide appropriate performance, relative to the amount of resources used under stated conditions (Papanikolaou, K ,2008)

No	Characteristics	M	B	A	D	F	P	I	B	M	K	K	I	D	G	S	Q	A	C	D	U	E	S	G	O	I	B	A	R	A	
		c	o	O	r	U	R	S	o	u	a	h	E	e	h	A	M	O	S	E	M	Q	Q	Q	Q	S	O	e	l	o	
		a	e																												
		l	h	S	s	R	R	O	w	r	n	s	E	u	e	T	O	S	D	Q	L	v	u	i	O	S	r	v	a		
		'	m	Q	'	P	Y	1	e	a	r	r	t	s	,	D	S	Q	Q	U	a	a	R	l	2	2	t	a	s		
		s	'	A	s	S		2	1	n	a	v	s	c	s	O	O	Q	L	L	n	,	i	5	5	o	h	h			
		M	O	M	O			6	8	2	2	i	h	i					A	A		s	1	1	0	a	d	o			
		O	O	O	S				3	0	0	k							I	I			9	0	1	o	e	u			
		S	S	S	'				3	3	3											7	7	0	1	h	e	n			
		'	'	'	'																										
1	Correctness	✓					✓		✓	✓			✓									✓		✓							7
2	Efficiency	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓		✓		✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	23
3	Expandability								✓					✓			✓			✓		✓									5
4	Flexibility	✓					✓		✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓		✓					✓		✓							11
5	Functionality			✓	✓	✓		✓			✓		✓			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	18





#### 4.0 Discussion And Conclusion:

The purpose of this study was to test the top three attributes of system quality with their sub-attributes in public university in Malaysia. The study also examined the relationships between the system quality and user satisfaction, and the impact of user satisfaction on usage continuance. The model adopted in this study explain that sub-attributes of usability can explain around 51.01% of the variance in usability, moreover, sub-attributes of reliability can explain around 38.40% of the variance in reliability, while, sub-attributes of efficiency can explain around 61.10% of the variance in efficiency, Therefore. the usability, efficiency, and reliability were positively related to system quality explaining the around 43.22% of the variance in system quality , while system quality was positively related to satisfaction explaining about 57.70 % of variance in satisfaction , and the last value of satisfaction was positively related to continuing to use explaining about 55.34% of the variance in intention to use.

In conclusion, this study involved the system quality factors, specifically within the end-users' factors, which found that usability, reliability, and efficiency affected the system quality. Moreover, system quality is a significant attribute influencing user satisfaction in using an e-learning system. User satisfaction was also found to be significant in affecting users' intention to use. The obtained results of this study will assist developers to develop e-learning systems by adopting these factors which finally affect the user satisfaction in the aspect of continue to use.

#### REFERENCES

- Alkhattabi, M., D. Neagu and A. Cullen, 2010. Information quality framework for e-learning systems. *Knowledge Management & E-Learning: An International Journal (KM&EL)*, 2(4): 340-362.
- Al-Qutaish, R.E., 2010. Quality models in software engineering literature: an analytical and comparative study. *Journal of American Science*, 6(3): 166-175.
- Anderson, J.C. and D.W. Gerbing, 1988. Structural equation modeling in practice: A review and recommended two-step approach. *Psychological Bulletin*, 103(3): 411-423.
- Ardito, C., M.F. Costabile, M. De Marsico, R. Lanzilotti, S. Levialdi, T. Roselli and V. Rossano, 2006. An approach to usability evaluation of e-learning applications. *Universal access in the information society*, 4(3): 270-283.
- Chin, W.W., 1998. The partial least squares approach to structural equation modeling. *Modern methods for business research*, 295(2): 295-336.
- Chrusch, M., 2000. The whiteboard: Seven great myths of usability. *Interaction*, 7(5): 13-16. doi:10.1145/345242.345251
- DeLone, W.H. and E.R. McLean, 2003. The Delone and McLean Model of Information Systems Success: a ten-year update. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 19(4): 9-30.
- Dubey, S.K., S. Ghosh and A. Rana, 2012. Comparison of software Quality models: An analytical approach. *International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering*, 2(2): 111-119.
- Freeze, R.D., K.A. Alshare, P.L. Lane and H. Joseph Wen, 2010. IS success model in e-learning context based on students' perceptions. *Journal of Information Systems Education*, 21(2): 173.
- Henderson, A., 2005. The innovation pipeline: Design collaborations between research and development. *Interaction*, 12(1): 24-29. doi:10.1145/1041280.1041295.
- Lanzilotti, R., C. Ardito, M.F. Costabile and A. De Angeli, 2006. eLSE Methodology: a Systematic Approach to the e-Learning Systems Evaluation. *Education Technology & Society*, 9(4): 42-53.
- Lwoga, E.T., 2014. Critical success factors for adoption of web-based learning management systems in Tanzania. *International Journal of Education & Development using Information & Communication Technology*, 10(1): 4-21.
- Madan, A. and S.K. Dubey, 2012. Usability evaluation methods: a literature review. *International Journal of Engineering Science and Technology*, 4(2).
- Masoumi, D. and B. Lindström, 2012. Quality in e-learning: a framework for promoting and assuring quality in virtual institutions. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 28(1): 27-41.
- Mørch, A.I., G. Stevens, M. Won, M. Klann, Y. Dittrich and V. Wulf, 2004. Componentbased technologies for end-user development. *Communications of the ACM*.
- Nielsen, J., 1993. *Usability Engineering*, Academic Press.
- Osaki, S. (Ed.). 2012. *Stochastic Models in Reliability and Maintenance*. Springer Science & Business Media. Berlin: Springer., p: 253.
- Papanikolaou, K. and S. Mavromoustakos, 2008. Web2Train: a Design Model for Corporate e-Learning Systems. In *BIS (Workshops)* pp: 155-163.
- Punnoose, A., 2012. Determinants of intention to use eLearning based on the technology acceptance model. *Journal of Information Technology Education: Research*, 11(1): 301-337.
- Reyna, J., 2009. Developing quality e-learning sites: A designer approach.

Rhee, C., J. Moon and Y. Choe, 2006. Web interface consistency in e-learning. *Online Information Review*, 30(1): 53-69.

Shneiderman, B., 2000. Universal usability. *Communications of the ACM*, 43(5): 84-91. doi:10.1145/332833.332843.

Shroff, R.H., C.D. Deneen and E.M. Ng, 2011. Analysis of the technology acceptance model in examining students' behavioural intention to use an e-portfolio system. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 27(4): 600-618.

Toshihiro, K.O.M.I.Y.A.M.A., 2008. Usability Evaluation Based on International Standards for Software Quality Evaluation. *NEC Technical Journal*, 3(2): 27-32.